## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BARTH D. CAPELA,

No. C 11-02811 SBA (PR)

Petitioner,

**ORDER OF DISMISSAL** 

v.

MICHAEL MARTEL, Warden,

Respondent.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Petitioner, a state prisoner, filed this <u>pro se</u> petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He has paid the \$5.00 filing fee.

Petitioner has filed a previous petition for a writ of habeas corpus with this Court, challenging the same conviction and sentence. See Case No. C 07-4734 SBA (PR). The Court denied the first petition on the merits.

## **DISCUSSION**

The Court finds the present petition is a second or successive petition attacking the same conviction and sentence as Petitioner's prior federal habeas petition. A second or successive petition containing new claims may not be filed in the district court unless Petitioner first obtains from the United States Court of Appeals an order authorizing the district court to consider the petition. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A). Petitioner has not done so. Accordingly, this petition is DISMISSED without prejudice to filing a new habeas action if Petitioner obtains the necessary order.

## **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is DISMISSED pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b).

The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this Order, terminate all other pending motions, and close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 7/15/11

SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

27

28

28